



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP
121 SW SALMON STREET
SUITE 1600
PORTLAND, OR 97204

COPY MAILED

DEC 20 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Glen A. Gordon :
Application No. 10/749,324 : DECISION GRANTING PETITION
Filed: December 31, 2003 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)
Attorney Docket No. 7451-71902-01 :
:

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.137(f), filed October 13, 2005, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the nonprovisional application for failure to timely notify the U.S. Patent and Trademark (USPTO) of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multinational treaty that requires publication of applications eighteen months after filing. See 37 CFR 1.137(f).

The petition is GRANTED.

Petitioner states that the nonprovisional application is the subject of an application filed in an eighteen month publication country on December 31, 2003. However, the USPTO was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in an eighteen month publication country.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country or under a multilateral international agreement that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition to revive an application abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) for failure to notify the USPTO of a foreign filing must be accompanied by:

- (1) the required reply which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;


- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The petition is found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(b). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the USPTO of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The previous Request and Certification under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) has been rescinded.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 3754.



Sherry D. Brinkley
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy